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ABSTRACT
Social media have become part of modern news reporting, used by journalists to spread information and find sources, or as a news source by individuals. The quest for prominence and recognition on social media sites like Twitter can sometimes eclipse accuracy and lead to the spread of false information. As a way to study and react to this trend, we demo TwitterTrails, an interactive, web-based tool (twittertrails.com) that allows users to investigate the origin and propagation characteristics of a rumor and its refutation, if any, on Twitter. Visualizations of burst activity, propagation timeline, retweet and co-retweeted networks help its users trace the spread of a story. Within minutes TwitterTrails will collect relevant tweets and automatically answer several important questions regarding a rumor: its originator, burst characteristics, propagators and main actors according to the audience. In addition, it will compute and report the rumor’s level of visibility and, as an example of the power of crowdsourcing, the audience’s skepticism towards it which correlates with the rumor’s credibility. We envision TwitterTrails as a valuable tool for individual use, and especially for amateur and professional journalists investigating recent and breaking stories.

1. INTRODUCTION
The so-called “24 hour news cycle” has led to an increased sensationalism of news stories. Especially with the increase in cable news channels and online news media, the need to catch the attention of the public has led to faster and more hyped up reporting. Many compete to be the first to report a breaking story and present new and exclusive angles. This trend has fed off social media and in turn empowered citizen journalists publishing and transmitting news through websites like Twitter and Facebook. Most of the time the information is true, but the desire to be first and receive more likes and retweets sometimes trumps accuracy and fact checking. It many cases, it may not matter much whether a rumor is true or false, but there are some cases that it matters greatly.

Consider the following scenario, that will serve as a running example in our description: Around noon on March 27, a reporter sees a tweet indicating that an airplane was spotted in the sea near the Canary Islands. For context, this happens just a few weeks after the disappearance of the Malaysian Airlines 370 flight on March 8, which captured the attention of people world wide. Pressing the retweet button is very tempting in this situation, but spreading this information further should not be done automatically. It would be very helpful if the reporter can quickly determine a few facts about this story1, including:

- Originator: Who “broke” the story first (made it widely known)?
- Burst: When and how did the story break (that is, have the first burst in its propagation)?
- Timeline: How is the story propagating over time? Is it still spreading at the time of the investigation?
- Propagators: Who has been spreading the story by retweeting, given that retweets often indicate agreement with the message?
- Negation: Were there any refutations of the story competing for attention? How widespread were they, compared to the original claim?
- Main actors: Who were the main actors in the propagation, according to the Twitter audience?

There is no formal quality control in the realm of citizen reporting. Reliable information can be created by witnesses and spread through social media networks, which could aid journalists when writing a story. But how can journalists or other individuals verify the claims of information they discovered on Twitter? Searching the Internet and social media can be tedious and time consuming, and might require technical information that an individual doesn’t have readily available. In the case of trending stories, massive amounts of data are being created and circulated, and often there will be individuals or bots trying to manipulate this data to promote their agenda.

We present and demo TwitterTrails.com, a new web-based tool for interactive exploration of Twitter information, which helps answer the above-listed questions. Relevant prior work is [1, 2].

We use the term story to indicate a rumor, true or false, spreading through Twitter.
Figure 1: A tweet spreading around 12 noon EST on March 27, 2014, reads (in Spanish) “Picture of the airplane in the sea these moments in Telde, Grand Canary Island”.

Figure 2: The automatically generated summary provides immediate feedback to the user investigating the rumor.

2. OVERVIEW OF TWITTERTRAILS

TWITTERTRAILS (Fig. 3) is an investigative and exploratory tool to analyze the origin and spread of a story on Twitter. While it does not answer directly the question of a story’s validity, it provides information that a critically thinking person can use to examine how a Twitter audience reacts to the spreading of the story. TWITTERTRAILS takes as an input from the user a single tweet with information she wishes to investigate, like the one in Figure 1, but allows the user to input keywords from that tweet to collect a set of related tweets. From that set of related tweets it provides visualizations to pinpoint the origin of the investigative tweet: where the information trail started, who initially broke the story. In some cases this may be enough for the user, based on the reputation of the accounts which broke the story on Twitter.

In cases of more dubious data, or for a more engaged Twitter user or journalist, TWITTERTRAILS provides visualizations to trace not only the origin, but the spread of a story. It gives the user tools to answer important questions about the story, as the ones mentioned in the Introduction section. Propagation and Timeline visualizations give the user a meaningful way to browse the data, while network graphs give her an overview of influential users in the data. Moreover, minutes after the launching of an investigation TWITTERTRAILS will give the user a summary of the findings that in most cases may be enough to answer her questions (Fig. 2). If she wants details on how this summary is produced, she can look into each of the sections that the investigation produced.

TWITTERTRAILS is structured around the investigation of a single tweet, which is the first input the user provides (via the url of the tweet). After retrieving the investigative tweet, TWITTERTRAILS provides the Keyword Selection interface, to allow the user to highlight words and phrases from the tweet as keywords, or en-
The system helps the user select the appropriate keywords in a variety of ways. It also suggests new search terms from common words, bigrams and hashtags in the 100 most recent tweets.

The first tool we present to the user is the Propagation Graph (Figure 4): a novel visualization which shows who broke the story on Twitter, and highlights influential and independent content creators. The burstiness algorithm is used to identify the time when the story breaks, and the propagation graph shows the first hundred tweets in the breaking interval.

A data point in the Propagation Graph represents a single tweet, and is plotted in several dimensions: the x-axis, which shows time; the y-axis, which shows the number of retweets received; and the size of a point, which represents the number of followers the tweeter has (scaled logarithmically). Tweets written by verified accounts are marked by a bright blue border. We claim that these are key elements in gauging the visibility of the tweet, as well as the degree of credibility other users will assign to the tweet and the amount of trust in the user as a source of information. Since we are trying to track the flow of a story, time is a natural factor to observe.

The Timeline visualization gives an overview of the whole story (Figure 5). The negation tweets are also displayed as a series in this graph, to show when tweets denying the story began to spread. The next two visualizations (not shown here), the Retweet Network and the Co-Retweeted Network, help to answer questions about the main actors who were spreading information. Finally, TWEETER-TRAILS produces a summary in the form of a report that refers to its main findings.
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